

Recommendations for cross-sectional imaging in cancer management, Second edition

Carcinoma of unknown primary origin (CUP)

Faculty of Clinical Radiology

Contents

Carcinoma of unknown primary origin (CUP)	2	References	5
Clinical background	2		
Who should be imaged?	2	Appendix 1. Dataset (to be updated with dates through patient's follow up)	6
Staging objectives	2		
Follow-up	3		
Conclusions	4		

Carcinoma of unknown primary origin (CUP)

Clinical background

The incidence of carcinoma of unknown primary (CUP) or occult primary origin ranges from 0.5–9% of all patients diagnosed with cancer.¹ Identification of the primary lesion largely forms the basis for predicting the expected behaviour and for assigning appropriate therapy of the malignant disease; thus the identification of a primary tumour poses a major challenge. The most common histology is adenocarcinoma (well- to moderately differentiated 50%; undifferentiated 30%), squamous cell cancer (15%) and undifferentiated cancer (5%).² There is considerable controversy over the extent of evaluation needed to locate a primary cancer. Guidance published in July 2010 by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) on the diagnosis and management of metastatic malignant disease of unknown primary³ recommends dividing the diagnostic process into two phases. The initial diagnostic screen aims to define the primary site and/or a specific histological type of tumour, allowing definitive treatment to be planned. The initial diagnostic phase involves investigations, as clinically appropriate, guided by patient's symptoms and includes comprehensive history and physical examination, routine blood tests, chest X-ray, myeloma screen (if there are isolated or multiple lytic bone lesions), symptom-directed endoscopy, CT of the chest, abdomen and pelvis, testicular ultrasound in men with presentations compatible with germ-cell tumours and biopsy with standard histological examination. Specific tumour markers are indicated in various settings such as CA125 in women with peritoneal malignancy or ascites, prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in men with presentations compatible with prostate cancer. More detail on this aspect is beyond the scope of this chapter but interested readers are directed to the NICE guidelines which provide further information.³

At the completion of a broad screen of initial investigations, several groups can be identified, subdivided according to pathological diagnosis. The subsets include:

- Metastatic epithelial or neuroendocrine malignancy, primary revealed during screening investigations
- Lymphoma and other haematological malignancies
- Metastatic melanoma
- Sarcoma
- Metastatic germ cell tumour
- Metastatic epithelial or neuroendocrine malignancy, no primary revealed during screening investigations.

A second phase of more specific investigations is appropriate in some patients.

Who should be imaged?

All patients with suspected or diagnosed carcinoma in whom the origin of the primary tumour is unknown. If initial diagnostic tests (chest X-ray [CXR], CT +/- endoscopy in symptomatic patients) fail to identify a primary tumour special investigations should be considered if the results are likely to affect a treatment decision.

Staging objectives

- To identify the full extent of disease and guide the selection of the optimal site for biopsy.
- To identify the site of the primary tumour in order to assign the appropriate therapy.
- To determine potentially favourable subsets of patients with highly treatable malignancies.

The appropriate use of imaging is dependent principally on distribution and histology of known disease. The distribution of disease can provide clues to the likelihood of the primary site being above or below the diaphragm. Lung metastases are twice as common in primary sites ultimately found to be above the diaphragm. Liver metastases are more common from primary disease below the diaphragm. When evaluating patients, it is important to remember that the pattern of metastatic spread of a cancer presenting as an occult lesion can be significantly different from that which would be expected from

the usual presentation. For example, bone metastases are approximately three times more common in pancreatic cancer presenting as occult lesions, but for lung cancer bone metastases are about ten times less common.

Metastatic squamous carcinoma of the neck

Most patients presenting with metastatic squamous cancer to the neck will present with cervical lymphadenopathy and 85% will have a squamous cell cancer of the aero-digestive tract.⁴ For these patients, either a contrast-enhanced CT or MRI scan and panendoscopy are required to identify the primary tumour. CT should also include the chest as occult primary lung cancer may also present with metastatic nodal disease in the neck. When a metastatic squamous tumour is found within neck lymph nodes, and routine imaging, panendoscopy and biopsy are all negative (~5% of head and neck cancers present in this way), an ¹⁸F PET-CT scan is indicated for locating the primary tumour.⁵ Asymmetric uptake of ¹⁸F PET-CT of the tonsils should be considered with suspicion.

Values of CTDI_{vol} should normally be below the relevant national reference dose for the region of scan and patient group (see Appendix and section on Radiation protection for the patient in CT in Section 2).

Metastatic adenocarcinoma of unknown primary origin

Initial imaging should consist of a CXR and CT scan of the chest, abdomen and pelvis in most patients. This will be expected to result in the detection of a primary site in 30–35% of patients.⁶ Male patients with a presentation compatible with a germ-cell tumour should undergo testicular ultrasound. In 15–25% of patients, the primary site cannot be identified even at post-mortem examination.⁷ In patients with negative cross-sectional imaging upper and/or lower GI tract endoscopy should be considered if symptoms, histology or radiology suggest a GI primary tumour. Patients with adenocarcinoma involving the axillary nodes should be referred to a breast cancer multidisciplinary team (MDT) for evaluation and treatment. If no breast primary tumour is identified after standard breast investigations (breast examination, mammography and ultrasound), dynamic contrast-enhanced breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) should be considered to identify

lesions suitable for targeted biopsy. ¹⁸F PET-CT should only be considered in patients with provisional CUP with extra-cervical presentations after discussion with the CUP team or CUP network MDT. There is a developing evidence base for using ¹⁸F PET-CT in CUP diagnosis, with some evidence for change of management although no improvement in outcome. Further research is needed to determine whether the identification of a primary tumour site with ¹⁸F PET-CT modifies treatment, improves patient survival and quality of life and to determine whether the use of ¹⁸F PET-CT early in the CUP management pathway reduces the number of investigations that the patient is subjected to.

CT

- Oral administration of 1 litre of water or iodinated contrast medium.
- 100–150 ml intravenous iodinated contrast medium injected at 3–4 ml/sec.
- MDCT is commenced at 20–25 seconds (chest) and 70–80 seconds (abdomen and pelvis) post-injection.
- Using MDCT, slice thickness will depend on scanner capability. In general, sections are acquired at 1.25–2.5 mm and reformatted at 5 mm for viewing.

Values of CTDI_{vol} should normally be below the relevant national reference dose for the region of scan and patient group (see Appendix and section on Radiation protection for the patient in CT in Section 2).

Bronchoscopy and video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS)

When percutaneous biopsy is unsuitable or inappropriate for intrapulmonary nodules of metastatic origin, flexible bronchoscopy with biopsy, brushings and washings should be considered even when there is no evidence of endo-bronchial or central nodal disease on imaging. VATS exploration should only be considered after a negative bronchoscopic procedure.

Follow-up

Is conducted to assess response to chemotherapy and is, therefore, performed at a frequency to correspond with the chemotherapy regimens.

Conclusions

In patients presenting with metastatic malignant disease of unknown primary, initial diagnostic tests including CXR, CT and targeted biopsy will be sufficient for guiding optimal treatment in the majority of patients. Additional specialised investigations are only indicated in selected patients. Endoscopy should be reserved for symptomatic patients. Mammography and breast MRI are only indicated in patients with suspected

breast cancer negative on conventional work-up. PET-CT is of value in patients with cervical nodal disease but has variable accuracy elsewhere. Bronchoscopy can be of value in patients with pulmonary metastases of unknown origin. Further evidence on the cost-effectiveness and impact of patient survival of these specialised tests is awaited.

References

1. van de Wouw AJ, Janssen-Heijnen ML, Coebergh JW, Hillen HF. Epidemiology of unknown primary tumours: incidence and population-based survival of 1285 patients in Southeast Netherlands, 1984-1992. *Eur J Cancer* 2002; **38**: 409–413.
2. Daugaard G. Unknown primary tumours. *Cancer Treatment Rev* 1994; **20**: 119–147.
3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Metastatic malignant disease of unknown primary origin. Clinical Guideline 104*. London: NICE, 2010.
4. Jerezek-Fossa BA, Jassem J, Orecchia R. Cervical lymph node metastases of squamous cell carcinoma from an unknown primary. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2004; **30**: 153–164.
5. Royal College of Physicians and The Royal College of Radiologists. *Evidence-based indications for the use of PET-CT in the United Kingdom 2013*. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2013.
6. McMillan JH, Levine E, Stephens RH. Computed tomography in the evaluation of metastatic adenocarcinoma from an unknown primary site. A retrospective study. *Radiology* 1982; **143**: 143–146.
7. Stewart JF, Tattersall MHN, Woods RL, Fox RM. Unknown primary adenocarcinoma: incidence of overinvestigation and natural history. *Br Med J* 1979; **1**: 1530–1533.

Author:

Dr Andrew Scarsbrook, St James' University Hospital, Leeds

Appendix 1. Dataset (to be updated with dates through patient's follow up)

Patient identification

Location of primary

Date of primary diagnosis

Pathological stage

Lymph node involvement (including date of diagnosis)

If LN +ve: Anatomical location

Method of detection

Metastatic sites (including date of diagnosis)

Imaging tests utilised

Enrolment into clinical trial (details)

Citation details

Scarsbrook A. Carcinoma of unknown primary origin (CUP). In: Nicholson T (ed). *Recommendations for cross-sectional imaging in cancer management*, Second edition. London: The Royal College of Radiologists, 2014.

Ref No. BFCR(14)2
© The Royal College of Radiologists, May 2014.

For permission to reproduce any of the content contained herein, please email: permissions@rcr.ac.uk

This material has been produced by The Royal College of Radiologists (RCR) for use internally within the specialties of clinical oncology and clinical radiology in the United Kingdom. It is provided for use by appropriately qualified professionals, and the making of any decision regarding the applicability and suitability of the material in any particular circumstance is subject to the user's professional judgement.

While every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the material, RCR cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of it. As publisher, RCR shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage, which may arise from the use of any of the material. The RCR does not exclude or limit liability for death or personal injury to the extent only that the same arises as a result of the negligence of RCR, its employees, Officers, members and Fellows, or any other person contributing to the formulation of the material.

The Royal College of Radiologists
63 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3JW
Tel: +44 (0)20 7405 1282
Email: enquiries@rcr.ac.uk www.rcr.ac.uk



A Charity registered with the Charity Commission No. 211540